

Serving the Midlands, South West and Wales

UNDERGROUNDING OVERHEAD LINES IN NATIONAL PARKS & AONBS

RIIO: ED1 – WPD STRATEGY POLICY

BACKGROUND

- As part of the Ofgem approved voluntary initiative, following stakeholder engagement and feedback, WPD's approved business plan for the regulatory period 2015-2023 (known as RIIO-ED1) includes a total sum of £7.7m for undergrounding of overhead lines in National Parks (NPs) and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs).
- The £7.7m is the total for WPD's four licence areas for 8 years and is split approximately

o West Midlands: £2.3m

o East Midlands: £0.9m

o South West: £3.0m

o South Wales: £1.4m

- The splits are pro-rata according to Ofgem's allowance caps for each electricity network licence area across England and Wales.
- WPD participated in a similar initiative in the regulatory period 2010-2015 (DPCR5).
- This strategy policy document sets out how WPD will operate the initiative in ED1 and covers amongst other things, organisation, engagement, choice of schemes and allocation of funds to any resources which our stakeholders may need to implement or select schemes.

SCHEME SELECTION & ENGAGEMENT / CONSULTATION

WPD believes schemes should be selected by our stakeholders / interest groups. WPD
will implement these schemes as best we can within the rules set by Ofgem and taking
into account any technical or other constraints (such as consents or environmental /
planning restrictions).

- Our principal stakeholders will select schemes and put them forward to WPD for consideration.
- WPD will rely on its principal stakeholders / interest groups to carry out their own
 engagement and consultation on schemes before putting these forward. The degree
 and type of engagement will vary and it is up to these organisations to determine what
 is appropriate and proportionate.
- WPD's stakeholders should consider the best use of the funds available when selecting schemes and experience from DPCR5 shows that this often, but not always, means targeting 'iconic' sites.
- Schemes can be selected at any of our operating voltages but typically the higher the voltage the higher the cost of undergrounding.
- Stakeholders should consider that depending on the location and terrain, the time to implement a scheme could vary greatly. For example, village schemes with 'low voltage' lines or sites with many habitats or archaeological constraints will normally take longer.
- WPD will assist stakeholders as much as possible with information needed to select suitable candidate schemes. The selection criteria for each WPD region will be discussed and agreed by the Steering Groups.
- WPD will carry out initial budget costing and feasibility work on schemes put forward.
 These will then normally be discussed by the Steering Group for each licence area and schemes selected by the Steering Groups will then be worked into detailed schemes (including costing and consents).
- Once a scheme is ready to be constructed, if the costs vary significantly compared to the budget cost, it will be presented again to the Steering Group for approval.

ORGANISATION - STEERING GROUPS

- WPD proposes to operate a similar Steering Group (SG) format to DPCR5.
- There will be 4 SGs, one per licence area.
- The membership of the SGs will be determined by the Principal Stakeholders (see below).
- Members of the SG will act independently to their organisations when discussing the merits of schemes and ultimately voting. This is likely to differ in the East Midlands where there is only one NP and one AONB.
- It will be up to each SG to determine the criteria for selection of schemes.

- The SG will discuss and agree which schemes are to be put forward for implementation
 with reference to the Visual Amenity Impact Assessment Form. In the event of
 disagreement a vote will be held be on the basis of one SG member, one vote. WPD
 will not have a vote.
- Where applicable, voting will take into account any Ofgem rules or guidance (such as targeting areas with previously little or no investment) and any agreed split of funds across the NPs or AONBs in that WPD area.

TARGETING AREAS WITH MINIMUM INVESTMENT IN DPCR5

SGs will need to ensure that NPs or AONBs which have previously had little or no investment are encouraged or assisted to bring schemes forward. The SG may need to decide the level of funds to be allocated to these areas, using a fair and pragmatic approach. In the event of disagreement, WPD will make the decision.

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS TO SCHEMES AND RESOURCES

- The £7.7m fund will be split by WPD licence area as set out above.
- Within each licence area, the SG for that area will agree the split of funds to each NP or AONB in that licence area. In the event of disagreement, WPD will make the decision.
- The SG may decide to not set rules around this (as was the case in South West and Wales in DPCR5) but let the best schemes for the regions happen. However, each SG will need to take into account rules or guidance from Ofgem and in particular the need to encourage areas with little or no previous investment to participate.
- Stakeholder feedback will be one criteria when selecting schemes and allocating funds.
- The years in which funds are spent will be dependent on the views of the SG, timescales
 to develop and implement schemes and also any year on year budgetary or resource
 constraints WPD may have.

USE OF FUNDS for RESOURCES:

- £7.7m or approximately £1m for each year of ED1 was set following wide consultation with our stakeholders. It is an overall reduction for WPD compared to the funds for DPCR5. In the South West and Wales it is an investment approximately equal pro-rata year on year to that in DPCR5.
- WPD did not identify any difficultly for organisations to find time to put forward or develop schemes in DPCR5.
- As a result and with the funds set at £7.7m, it is likely that the South West and Wales
 approach of a smaller number of schemes at 'iconic' sites could be targeted. We
 therefore, do not consider that it should be necessary for any of the £7.7m allocation to
 be used for staffing to assist the NP or AONBs. If funds were used in this way, it would
 reduce the amount of the £7.7m available as capital money for actual schemes and it
 would also be difficult and bureaucratic to administer (with associated costs) as there

would need to be checks in place to ensure the funds were used specifically by the NPs and AONBs for this initiative.

 ED1 runs for an 8 year period and sufficient time should be available to select and organise schemes within the available funds without allocation for resources. WPD will provide information and appropriate assistance to stakeholders to help them in scheme selection.

PRINCIPAL STAKEHOLDERS & INTEREST GROUPS

The principal groups to which WPD will look for schemes and engagement are the National Parks and AONBs in our area, along with affiliated organisations (eg 'friends of' groups) and other stakeholders / interest groups such as environmental organisations (CPRE, Natural England, Natural Resources Wales).

UNDERGROUNDING OVERHEAD LINES IN NATIONAL PARKS & AONB'S

RIIO: ED1 – WPD STRATEGY POLICY

Stakeholder List

NATURAL RESOURCES WALES

CADW

PEMBROKESHIRE COAST NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY

BRECON BEACONS NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY

WYE VALLEY AONB

GOWER AONB

CAMPAIGN FOR THE PROTECTION OF RURAL WALES

CAMPAIGN TO PROTECT RURAL ENGLAND

NATIONAL TRUST

NATURAL ENGLAND

HISTORIC ENGLAND

FORESTRY COMMISSION

CAMPAIGN FOR NATIONAL PARKS

THE OPEN SPACES SOCIETY

PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK

FRIENDS OF THE PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK

LINCOLNSHIRE WOLDS AONB

COTSWOLDS AONB

CANNOCK CHASE AONB

SHROPSHIRE HILLS AONB

MALVERN HILLS AONB

DARTMOOR NATIONAL PARK

EXMOOR NATIONAL PARK

EAST DEVON AONB

CORNWALL AONB

NORTH DEVON AONB

BLACKDOWN HILLS AONB

TAMAR VALLEY AONB

DORSET AONB

SOUTH DEVON AONB

MENDIP HILLS AONB

QUANTOCK HILLS AONB

ISLES OF SCILLY AONB